ORIGINA



# Before the UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT OFFICE LIBRARY OF CONGRESS Washington, DC

.MAN 22 2002

CENTRAL COUNSELL CEGOPYRIGHT

In the Matter of Adjustment of Docket No. 2001-1 CARP DSTRA 2
Rates and Terms for the Digital Docket No. 2001-2 CARP DTNSRA

Docket No. 2001-2 CARP DTNSRA

### JOINT REPLY COMMENTS OF XM SATELLITE RADIO, INC. AND SIRIUS SATELLITE RADIO INC.

In response to the Copyright Office's Notice of Inquiry ("NOI"), XM Satellite Radio, Inc. ("XM") and Sirius Satellite Radio Inc. ("Sirius"), the two pre-existing satellite digital audio radio services, showed why new subscription services should not be included in the Pre-existing Services CARP (Docket No. 2001-1 CARP DSTRA 2) and why it would be inefficient, and would create unnecessary complexity and confusion, to consolidate any New Subscription Services CARP (Docket No. 2001-2 CARP DTNSRA) with the upcoming Pre-existing Services CARP. The Recording Industry Association of America, Inc., the American Federation of Television and Radio Artists and the American Federation of Musicians of the United States and Canada also filed joint comments opposing consolidation and demonstrating why consolidation was inappropriate.

No party to either the up-coming Pre-existing Services CARP or to the New Subscription Services CARP has requested consolidation. The parties that have taken a position on the issue have opposed consolidation. That should end the matter, as there arguably is no contested matter pending before the Copyright Office. <sup>1</sup>

The only voice arguing for consolidation, DiMA, is not a party to either CARP. DiMA has not filed a notice of intent to participate nor does it claim to be speaking on behalf of any of the parties to either CARP. DiMA's sole supposed interest in the proceeding is that its "members include Internet webcasters that currently offer, or intend to offer, noninteractive subscription services pursuant to the Section 114 statutory license." These alleged members are not identified. The exact nature of their services is unknown. Their interest or desire to participate in the New Services CARP is unstated. Given the complete lack of information regarding the members it purports to represent, DiMA's assertion that the parties to the two proceedings "will be presenting a substantial volume of common evidence," and its suggestion that expert witnesses could potentially be shared, are purely speculative.

In any case, DiMA's speculations are clearly outweighed by the complexities that will result from consolidation. As XM and Sirius showed in their initial comments, the Pre-existing Services CARP will determine rates and terms for a five year license period and apply the fee standard set forth in Section 801(b)(1), while any New Subscription Services CARP will determine rates and terms for a two year license period and will apply a "willing buyer/willing seller" fee standard. Neither DiMA, nor its unidentified members, will pay the price of the added complexity that consolidation would create. And as non-participants, neither it, nor its

The Copyright Office inquiry resulted from a petition filed by Music Choice. That petition has since been withdrawn and Music Choice has made clear that it does not favor consolidation. Petition to Withdraw at 2

Footnote continued on next page

unidentified members, would benefit from any of the efficiencies that it claims will arise from consolidation

Consolidation also would mean that the Copyright Office would once again be required to administer a CARP with numerous industries having different interests. The ongoing non-subscription services CARP highlights the problems with such consolidation. It is the understanding of XM and Sirius that two major participating industries have been unable to effectuate a settlement agreement desired by both, at least in part because of the procedural difficulties caused by consolidation of multiple types of webcasting in the same proceeding.

<sup>(&</sup>quot;As a result, there is no reason to seek a consolidated rate setting process."). Music Choice has informed the Copyright Office that the "Backstage Pass" service that gave rise to its petition is no longer operational.

For the reasons set forth above and stated in its original comments, XM and Sirius oppose the consolidation of the Pre-existing Services CARP with any New Subscription Services CARP the Office may convene.

Respectfully submitted,

XM SATELLITE RADIO, INC.

Lon C. Levin

Senior Vice President

1500 Eckington Place, N.E.

200

Washington, D.C. 20002

Of Counsel:

Clifford M Harrington

Barry H. Gottfried

Cynthia D. Greer

**SHAW PITTMAN** 

2300 N Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20006

(202) 663-8000

SIRIUS SATELLITE RADIO INC.

By:

Douglas A. Kaplan

Vice President and Deputy General Counsel

Sirius Satellite Radio Inc.

1221 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10020

(212) 584-5100

#### SERVICE LIST Docket No. 2001-2 CARP DTNSRA

I hereby certify that on this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of January, 2002, copies of the foregoing Joint Reply Comments of XM Satellite Radio, Inc. and Sirius Satellite Radio Inc. were served by facsimile to the following:

Seth G. Greenstein McDermott, Will & Emery 600 Thirteenth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 *Counsel for Yahoo! Inc.* Facsimile (202) 756-8087

Kelly Jo MacArthur, Senior Vice President and General Counsel RealNetworks, Inc. 2601 Elliot Avenue Seattle, WA 98121 Facsimile (206) 674-2695

Arthur J. Levine
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett &
Dunner, L.L.P.
1300 I Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005-3315
Counsel for the American Federation of
Television and Radio Artists
Facsimile (202) 408-4400

Ann E. Chaitovitz
National Director of Sound Recordings
American Federation of Television and Radio
Artists
260 Madison Avenue
New York, NY 10016
Facsimile (212) 532-2242

Susan Chertkof Munsat
Cary H. Sherman
Steven M. Marks
Linda R. Bocchi
Gary R. Greenstein
1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 300
Washington, DC 20036
Recording Industry Association of America,
Inc. on behalf of itself and SoundExchange
Facsimile (202) 775-7253

Robert Alan Garrett
Michele J. Woods
Jule L. Sigall
Arnold & Porter
555 Twelfth Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004
Of Counsel for Recording Industry
Association of America, Inc. on behalf of
itself and SoundExchange
Facsimile (202) 942-5999

Patricia Polach
Bredhoff & Kaiser, P.L.L.C.
805 15<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W., Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20005
Counsel for the American Federation of
Musicians of the United States and Canada
Facsimile (202) 842-1888

acqueline Solomon

## ShawPittman LLP

A Limited Liability Partnership Including Professional Corporations

CYNTHIA D. GREER (202) 663–8301 cynthia.greer@shawpittman.com



'ANI 22 2002

January 22, 2002

GENERAL COUNSEL OF COPYRIGHT

#### **By Hand Delivery**

Re:

Office of the General Counsel Copyright Office James Madison Memorial Bldg. Room LM-403 First and Independence Ave., S.E. Washington, DC 20559-6000

Joint Reply Comments of XM Satellite Radio, Inc. and

Sirius Satellite Radio Inc.

Docket No. 2001-1 CARP DSTRA-2; Docket No. 2001-2 CARP DTNSRA

Dear Sir:

On behalf of XM Satellite Radio, Inc., and Sirius Satellite Radio Inc., please find enclosed one (1) original, five (5) copies and two (2) receipt copies of Joint Reply Comments of XM Satellite Radio, Inc. and Sirius Satellite Radio Inc. Please date-stamp and return to the courier the (2) receipt copies.

Should any questions arise concerning this matter, please contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

Cynthia D. Greer

Enclosures

Document #: 1205680 v.1

## ORIGINAL



JAN 22 2002

## Before the UNITED STATES COPYRIGHT OFFICE LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

GENERAL COUNSEL
OF COPYRIGHT

Washington, DC

In the Matter of Adjustment of )
Rates and Terms for the Digital )
Performance of Sound Recordings )

Docket No. 2001-1 CARP DSTRA 2

Docket No. 2001-2 CARP DTNSRA

## JOINT REPLY COMMENTS OF XM SATELLITE RADIO, INC. AND SIRIUS SATELLITE RADIO INC.

In response to the Copyright Office's Notice of Inquiry ("NOI"), XM Satellite Radio, Inc. ("XM") and Sirius Satellite Radio Inc. ("Sirius"), the two pre-existing satellite digital audio radio services, showed why new subscription services should not be included in the Pre-existing Services CARP (Docket No. 2001-1 CARP DSTRA 2) and why it would be inefficient, and would create unnecessary complexity and confusion, to consolidate any New Subscription Services CARP (Docket No. 2001-2 CARP DTNSRA) with the upcoming Pre-existing Services CARP. The Recording Industry Association of America, Inc., the American Federation of Television and Radio Artists and the American Federation of Musicians of the United States and Canada also filed joint comments opposing consolidation and demonstrating why consolidation was inappropriate.

No party to either the up-coming Pre-existing Services CARP or to the New Subscription Services CARP has requested consolidation. The parties that have taken a position on the issue have opposed consolidation. That should end the matter, as there arguably is no contested matter pending before the Copyright Office. <sup>1</sup>

The only voice arguing for consolidation, DiMA, is not a party to either CARP. DiMA has not filed a notice of intent to participate nor does it claim to be speaking on behalf of any of the parties to either CARP. DiMA's sole supposed interest in the proceeding is that its "members include Internet webcasters that currently offer, or intend to offer, noninteractive subscription services pursuant to the Section 114 statutory license." These alleged members are not identified. The exact nature of their services is unknown. Their interest or desire to participate in the New Services CARP is unstated. Given the complete lack of information regarding the members it purports to represent, DiMA's assertion that the parties to the two proceedings "will be presenting a substantial volume of common evidence," and its suggestion that expert witnesses could potentially be shared, are purely speculative.

In any case, DiMA's speculations are clearly outweighed by the complexities that will result from consolidation. As XM and Sirius showed in their initial comments, the Pre-existing Services CARP will determine rates and terms for a five year license period and apply the fee standard set forth in Section 801(b)(1), while any New Subscription Services CARP will determine rates and terms for a two year license period and will apply a "willing buyer/willing seller" fee standard. Neither DiMA, nor its unidentified members, will pay the price of the added complexity that consolidation would create. And as non-participants, neither it, nor its

The Copyright Office inquiry resulted from a petition filed by Music Choice. That petition has since been withdrawn and Music Choice has made clear that it does not favor consolidation. Petition to Withdraw at 2

Footnote continued on next page

unidentified members, would benefit from any of the efficiencies that it claims will arise from consolidation

Consolidation also would mean that the Copyright Office would once again be required to administer a CARP with numerous industries having different interests. The ongoing non-subscription services CARP highlights the problems with such consolidation. It is the understanding of XM and Sirius that two major participating industries have been unable to effectuate a settlement agreement desired by both, at least in part because of the procedural difficulties caused by consolidation of multiple types of webcasting in the same proceeding.

<sup>(&</sup>quot;As a result, there is no reason to seek a consolidated rate setting process."). Music Choice has informed the Copyright Office that the "Backstage Pass" service that gave rise to its petition is no longer operational.

For the reasons set forth above and stated in its original comments, XM and Sirius oppose the consolidation of the Pre-existing Services CARP with any New Subscription Services CARP the Office may convene.

Respectfully submitted,

XM SATELLITE RADIO, INC.

Lon C. Levin

Senior Vice President

1500 Eckington Place, N.E.

CDG

Washington, D.C. 20002

Of Counsel:

Clifford M Harrington

Barry H. Gottfried

Cynthia D. Greer

**SHAW PITTMAN** 

2300 N Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20006

(202) 663-8000

SIRIUS SATELLITE RADIO INC.

By:

Douglas A. Kaplan

Vice President and Deputy General Counsel

Sirius Satellite Radio Inc.

1221 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10020

(212) 584-5100

#### SERVICE LIST Docket No. 2001-2 CARP DTNSRA

I hereby certify that on this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of January, 2002, copies of the foregoing Joint Reply Comments of XM Satellite Radio, Inc. and Sirius Satellite Radio Inc. were served by facsimile to the following:

Seth G. Greenstein McDermott, Will & Emery 600 Thirteenth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 Counsel for Yahoo! Inc. Facsimile (202) 756-8087

Kelly Jo MacArthur, Senior Vice President and General Counsel RealNetworks, Inc. 2601 Elliot Avenue Seattle, WA 98121 Facsimile (206) 674-2695

Arthur J. Levine
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett &
Dunner, L.L.P.
1300 I Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005-3315
Counsel for the American Federation of
Television and Radio Artists
Facsimile (202) 408-4400

Ann E. Chaitovitz
National Director of Sound Recordings
American Federation of Television and Radio
Artists
260 Madison Avenue
New York, NY 10016
Facsimile (212) 532-2242

Susan Chertkof Munsat
Cary H. Sherman
Steven M. Marks
Linda R. Bocchi
Gary R. Greenstein
1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 300
Washington, DC 20036
Recording Industry Association of America,
Inc. on behalf of itself and SoundExchange
Facsimile (202) 775-7253

Robert Alan Garrett
Michele J. Woods
Jule L. Sigall
Arnold & Porter
555 Twelfth Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004
Of Counsel for Recording Industry
Association of America, Inc. on behalf of
itself and SoundExchange
Facsimile (202) 942-5999

Patricia Polach
Bredhoff & Kaiser, P.L.L.C.
805 15<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W., Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20005
Counsel for the American Federation of
Musicians of the United States and Canada
Facsimile (202) 842-1888

Jacqueline Solomon